

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH NC 27627

COPY MAILED

JAN 3 0 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :

Scarantino, Nagle, and Kim : DECISION ON PETITION

Application No. 10/089,483 : Filed: 17 September, 2002 :

Atty Docket No. 9099-2IP

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.183 and 1.48(a)(1), filed on 23 December, 2005, which is being treated as (1) a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(a) to amend the inventive entity by the addition of Stefan Ufer, Jason Fiering, and Bahram Ghaffarazadeh Kermani; (2) a petition under 37 CFR 1.183 requesting waiver of 37 CFR 1.48(a)(2) which requires a statement from the person being added; and (3) a petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), which requires an oath or declaration signed by all of the inventors.

The petition is again **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision.

On 17 September, 2004, the application was filed with a declaration naming Charles W. Scarantino, H. Troy Nagle, and Chang-Soo Kim as joint inventors.

On 4 October, 2005, a non-final Office action was mailed, setting a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply.

On 7 October, 2005, the present petitions under 37 CFR 1.47(a) and 1.48(a) were filed. Petitioners seek to add Ufer, Fiering, and Kermani as joint inventors. Petitioners further state that Ufer has refused to sign the statement of lack of deceptive intent required by 37 CFR 1.48(a) and the declaration required by 37 CFR 1.63 and 1.67. The petition is accompanied by consent of

the assignee(s), statements of lack of deceptive intent signed by Fiering and Kermani, and a declaration naming Scarantino, Nagle, Kim, Ufer, Fiering, and Kermani as the inventive entity, signed by all joint inventors except Ufer on behalf of themselves and inventor Ufer.

Petitioners aver, in the renewed petition, that a copy of the application, declaration, and statement of lack of deceptive intent were forwarded to the inventor sought to be added on 21 December, 2005. In support, petitioners have provided a copy of a letter, forwarding said papers to Ufer, and have provided his last known address.

37 CFR 1.48(a) requires that an amendment to the named inventive entity be accompanied by:

- (1) a petition including a statement from each person being added and each person being deleted as an inventor that the error occurred without deceptive intention on his or her part;
- (2) an oath or declaration by each actual inventor or inventors as required by 37 CFR 1.63 or as permitted by 37 CFR 1.42, 1.43, or 1.47;
 - (3) the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(i); and
- (4) the written consent of any existing assginee, if any of the originally named inventors has executed an assignment

The petition of record does not comply with items (1) and (2). As petitioners also request consideration under 37 CFR 1.47(a), and the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is not grantable for reasons discussed *infra*, the petition under 37 CFR 1.183 to waive 1.48 will be dismissed without prejudice to reconsideration pending submission of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a).

Petition Under 37 CFR 1.183 to waive 37 CFR 1.48

37 CFR 1.183 provides that in an extraordinary situation, when justice requires, any requirement of the regulations in this part which is not a requirement of the statutes may be suspended or waived by the Commissioner or the Commissioner's designee, sua sponte, or on petition of the interested party, subject to such other requirements as may be imposed. 1

MPEP 201.03(B).

Petitioners must show that the inability to obtain the statement from the inventor is, notwithstanding the exercise of reasonable care and diligence, due to circumstances beyond their control. Under the circumstances of this case, petitioners should proceed as noted in more detail *infra* to make their showing in support of their request for waiver.

Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires:

- (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or located, notwithstanding diligent effort, or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings);
- (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116;
 - (3) the petition fee;
- (4) a surcharge of \$130 or \$65 (small entity) if the petition and/or declaration is not filed at the time of filing the application, and
- (5) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor.

The petition lacks item (1).

In regards to item (1), although petitioners have shown that Ufer was sent or presented with a copy of the application as filed (specification, including claims, drawings, if any, and the declaration), it is noted that the letter was mailed the same day that the petition was filed. The letter to Ufer indicates that he should return the declaration and statement of lack of deceptive intent not later than 9 January, 2006. As the petition was filed prior to 9 January, 2006, and was in fact filed on the same day that the application papers were sent to Ufer, it is premature to conclude, based on the showing of record, that Ufer has refused to sign and return the declaration and statement of lack of deceptive intent.

As the date specified in the letter for return of the documents has now passed, petitioners should indicate, in their renewed petition, whether any response was received from Ufer. If, after a reasonable period of time, no response is received, petitioners will have shown a constructive refusal on the part of Ufer to sign the declaration and statement of lack of deceptive intent.

If the inventor refuses in writing, petitioners must submit a copy of that written refusal with any renewed petition. If the refusal was made orally to a person, then that person must provide details of the refusal in an affidavit or declaration of fact.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX:

(571) 273-8300

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Customer Service Window

Mail Stop Petition Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571.272.3231.

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions